


Minutes  
Undergraduate Academic Affairs Subcommittee  
Thursday, January 12<sup>th</sup>, 2023

Members in Attendance: L. Dorsey, Chair, E. Gockel-Blessing, S. Sell, E. Crowell, J. Rust, D. Pike., S. Steadman, J. Haugen., G. Barker, L.





- *A response from a committee member does appreciate the input and feels differently about a concentration than a major and not for sure if it should be the decision of the college. There should be some input from the committee because Jesuit University has closed key programs in which made them a Jesuit University. At least at the major level, there should be some input from this committee.*
- ✕ *The language "program name change" on this form, what do we mean when we are talking about "program name"? Do we mean the name change of the academic major the item the school is pursuing.*
- *Language does matter and we do struggle to how we apply the term, "program".*
- *There are times where changes occur across campus in an academic unit, regarding the removal of courses, discontinuing courses, etc. whatever the opportunity is, everybody followed the right process and not coming forward in a larger sense, though we may not understand the scope of impact that decision within that academic unit is. Understanding the larger scope, how we all may, intentional or not, across campus is important and I would not want to lose this piece.*
- *Would UAAC get to have a voice on voting on program closures. Some were told UAAC would and this has not happened and programs that were closed suffered because there was no other educational opportunity for certain students who were in that program or going to be in that program. We should have a vote in deciding or have discussions if programs are closing.*
- *We will continue this conversation and learn how we are going to integrate program changes and we will continue to see how substantial change versus non-substant to guide us in our discussions.*

#### New Business:

There have been a number of queries regarding the addition to the academic integrity syllabi statement for the spring semester and it was also addressed at the UAAC meeting. The Reinert Center believes the current language of our university policy includes the new AI tools however, there is nothing preventing faculty from adding additional information in their syllabus.

Would there be anything going out to Faculty